US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

1	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2	PUBLIC HEARINGS REGARDING EPA OBJECTIONS TO 36 DRAFT NPDES PERMITS
3	FOR DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH COAL MINING
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Transcript of Dublic Hearing hold on
10	Transcript of Public Hearing held on June 7, 2012, commencing at 7 p.m.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Eastern Kentucky Exposition Center 126 Main Street
17	Pikeville, Kentucky
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Lica M. Sabwanza DDD KyCCD
23	Lisa M. Schwarze, RPR, KyCCR Registered Professional Reporter
24	
25	
	1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Good evening,
everyone. If you could please take your seats.
We would like to get started. My name is Charlie
MacPherson, and I'll be facilitating tonight's
session.

My role tonight is to ensure that as many people as possible have the opportunity to share comments and that we do so in a safe and secure environment. So to help achieve those objectives, I just want to review a couple of the ground Everyone should have a copy of these rules. ground rules on the agenda that you should have picked up on your way in. But I just want to review a couple of them. First of all, please show courtesy to all the speakers. Everyone deserves a chance to be heard, and please respect when they are speaking. Any disruptions is just going to take time away from another speaker. I appreciate that.

Second, for the speakers we have established time limits; again, so that we can try to hear as many comments as possible tonight. So please adhere to those time limits so that our other speakers can also share their comments. In terms of if you have cell phones, please turn off the

ringers, put them on vibrate. If you do need to have any conversations, please do so outside of the hearing area out of respect to the other speakers.

In addition to your agenda that you have, it is a white piece of paper, on the back side is a form that you can use to submit any written comments that you have. And you can leave that at the registration table. These written comments, in addition to the statements that are being made tonight and any other materials that you want to leave, will all be made part of the administrative record. In addition, we are making a transcript of everything that's being said tonight, and that will be made available on EPA's website.

Finally, we are providing sign language interpreters tonight for anyone who might need that service. I just want to see if there is anyone in the room, if you could stand up, that does need the sign language services so we could move you closer to the front. Okay, I don't see anyone at this time. But I'll ask at one more point during the hearing.

I want to briefly review the process we're going to follow for making our statements tonight.

Everyone who has expressed interest or registered
on-line to make a statement should have received a
speaker number in the upper corner on an orange
sheet of paper. So if you think you are
registered but you have not received this, please
go out to the registration table now so you can
get your number. Okay, I'll be going into more
detail about the speaking process after our
opening remarks.

But at this time, I would like to introduce

Jim Giattina, who is the presiding officer for
this hearing.

MR. GIATTINA: Thank you, Charlie. Good evening, everyone. I'm Jim Giattina, Director of the Water Protection Division of the U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency's regional office in Atlanta, Georgia. EPA's Regional Administrator has designated me to conduct this public hearing.
Mark Nuhfer, chief of our municipal and industrial permit section, is assisting me this evening. And I want to thank everybody for being here, and I appreciate the City of Pikeville for allowing us to hold the hearing here this evening.

As I said, let me start by thanking you for being here. I know you are very busy folks. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to say that we recognize that coal mining
operations are critically important to Kentucky
and for meeting our energy needs as a nation. We
recognize that many of you are worried about jobs
We also know that many of you are concerned about
the impacts coal mining may have on your health
and environment. The purpose of this hearing is
to listen to your concerns, especially as they
relate to the 36 permits the EPA has objected to
that are the subject of this hearing.

I want to note that EPA and the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet have been working over the past two years to identify a set of common sense practices and appropriate permit conditions that we believe protect and will improve water quality while addressing the industry's concerns for clarity and cost effectiveness. Most of these practices have been developed by experts here in Kentucky and are beginning to be implemented at local mines. example, some mining companies are redesigning mines to reduce the number, size, and location of fills, they are more carefully monitoring pollution levels in stream biology at their mines, and using realtime adaptive management techniques

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to prevent problems downstream. They are beginning to find, target, and isolate specific sources of pollutants to keep them away from the water. This is the kind of innovation and care that will help ensure coal mining remains a vibrant part of this local economy. Our desire at EPA is for the Commonwealth to move forward and issue permits that require these kinds of innovative approaches and that have appropriate pollutant limits to protect water quality.

Let me briefly give you some additional background information that is important for you to understand as you make your oral or written comments. The permits that we are discussing are water discharge permits, known as National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, or NPDES, permits. And these permits are required by the Clean Water Act. An NPDES permit is the basic tool for controlling water pollution, and it contains conditions and limitations to protect water quality and its many uses, such as fishing, swimming, canoeing, and as a source of drinking The Clean Water Act requires that NPDES permits include pollutant limits stringent enough to ensure that discharges do not cause violations

of the Commonwealth's own water quality standards.

As part of the permitting process, Kentucky
provides copies of draft NPDES permits to EPA for
our review.

Now, since August of 2009, based on information provided by the Kentucky Division of Water, the Division has issued individual NPDES permits for approximately 87 surface mining projects and 28 underground mines or coal preparation plants. Additionally, the Division has allowed approximately 2,500 new and existing coal mining projects to proceed under its general permit authority. Over the past two years, the EPA has objected to the issuance of a number of draft permits by the Division, 36 of which remain and are the subject of this hearing.

While the majority of mining projects that need NPDES permits in Kentucky have received authorization to go forward, EPA remains concerned with mining discharges. In 2010, the Kentucky Division of Water estimated that at least 1,522 miles of Kentucky's rivers and streams are threatened or impaired due to mining. Recent studies, as well as the experiences of coalfield communities, point to new environmental challenges

largely unknown even 10 years ago. Sediment,
salts, and metals that runoff from poor mining
practices can destroy the habitat that sustains
fish and other forms of aquatic life in eastern
Kentucky waterways. They threaten sources of
drinking water and can affect the quality of life
for the people of this region. Our objections to
these 36 draft NPDES permits are based on the same
fundamental concern, that permits must be strong
enough to control pollution from these mines,
pollution that can harm human health and aquatic
life.

This evening, we are here to listen to the concerns that you have about these permits. And, in particular, it is important that we receive any specific information you have with regard to these mines and the waters they discharge to. I know we are limited tonight in how many people can speak. I encourage everyone who is interested in contributing comments and unable to speak tonight to do so in writing, and that contact information is provided at the table in the back.

The public comment period will close on

June 21st. I want to emphasize at this point that
no final decisions have been made. After

considering all of the comments, the data and
information received on the permit objections,
EPA's Regional Administrator in Atlanta will make
a decision to reaffirm, modify, or withdraw each
of the original objections. Once these decisions
have been made, we will notify the Kentucky
Division of Water, each of the permit applicants,
and all of those who have registered and provided
a mailing address. Our decisions will also be
posted on EPA's website.

If we withdraw any objections, the Kentucky Division of Water will be able to move forward and issue those permits. If we reaffirm or modify any objections, the Division of Water can send us revised draft permits within 30 days that address our concerns. And if for some reason we cannot reach agreement with the Division, then EPA will issue a permit for those particular mines. However, as I said earlier, I am hopeful that we will be successful in reaching agreement on the permits with the Kentucky Cabinet.

This is our opportunity to hear directly from you, and I want to thank you again for being here and for participating in this process. At this time, I will turn it back to Charlie, who will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moderate the remainder of the hearing. Thank you.

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you, Jim. In terms of how we're going to operate with the speakers, I'm going to be calling the numbers out in blocks of 20. And when I call your block and it has your number, I'm going to ask you to go to the back of the room, the back right, where two of our staff, who are standing there now with numbers, and they will give you a briefing on the speaking process, and then they'll send you back at the appropriate So at this time, I would like numbers 1 time. through 20 to go to the back right of the Could you guys hold up your numbers? auditorium. There we go. Thank you.

So at this time, I would like to introduce
Secretary Peters of the Kentucky Energy and
Environment Cabinet and Commissioner Bruce Scott
of the Kentucky Department for Environmental
Protection to make some opening remarks.

SECRETARY PETERS: Thank you, Charlie. Good evening. I am Len Peters, Secretary of Kentucky's Energy and Environment Cabinet. And on behalf of Governor Steve Beshear, I want to thank Region 4 for conducting this hearing to receive comments on their objections to Clean Water Act permits for

surface mining operations in eastern Kentucky.

Today's hearing is unprecedented in Kentucky. Also unprecedented is the State feeling compelled by matters of principle to sue the U.S. EPA, which we did in 2010, because of what we deemed to be arbitrary and inconsistent application of policies governing mining operations. My comments today regarding EPA's objections to these permits, therefore, are consistent with the concerns we have expressed for more than two years now regarding this issue.

As someone responsible for overseeing the State's environmental protection programs, I support and am, in fact, obligated to enforce regulations necessary to protect our land, air, and water resources. We can and must do all that is reasonably possible to protect our environment and the lives and health of our citizens. We have federal and state laws and regulations that not only guide this process but that bind us so that our decisions are not arbitrary, political, or otherwise without basis. Environmental permitting is not designed to stop legitimate business activities, whether we are talking about a mining activity, a manufacturing facility, or a water

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

treatment plant. Rather, permitting is to ensure these activities are done in accordance with existing laws and regulations. Regulators and a regulated community need certainty in the process. In addition, regulators and a regulated community need to be assured the decisions are made fairly and reasonably, based on accepted scientific studies and analysis.

The Energy and Environment Cabinet and the U.S. EPA have an important partnership, and we share the same mission, to protect human health and the environment and to ensure environmental protection laws and regulations are implemented and enforced fairly and reasonably. Kentucky cannot simply reject surface mining permit applications that are in accordance with existing laws and regulations. Despite the rhetoric from some, surface mining, including mountaintop removal mining, is a legal form of mining that occurs on privately-owned land. We follow the federal Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, the Clean Water Act, and other federal provisions in operating the Kentucky program. Coal can be and is being mined in an environmentally responsible manner. We continue

to make improvements, and the industry has been
willing to do things better. For example, the
Beshear Administration initiated a novel approach
to ensuring enhanced environmental protection of
surface mining operations through a fill
minimization protocol, a protocol that should have
been embraced by the EPA but was not.

All sources of energy production use, even renewables, have an environmental impact. But existing laws and regulations are in place to minimize impacts and to reclaim mined land. That is what is disconcerting to us, that EPA has applied a specific benchmark, that is conductivity, to Appalachian coal mining, a de facto standard has been based on what should be very narrowly interpreted incomplete science, not one that should be a surrogate measure of overall water quality.

I read an opinion piece in the paper this week that even misrepresents the facts by saying that conductivity is a measure of contamination.

The EPA knows and I know that this is not true, and yet many people do not know otherwise.

Governor Beshear and I recognize and respect that EPA has a responsibility and obligation to revise

and update regulations and program requirements as necessary to protect human health and the environment. However, EPA should not create new regulatory requirements that have not undergone the appropriate Congressional or rulemaking processes.

As it is, EPA is preventing through its objection process Kentucky, a delegated state, from issuing permits with no recourse for us or for the regulated community or for the thousands of Kentuckians who depend on mining for their livelihoods. We should not allow a few studies, studies that have credible counterpoints, to determine the economic destiny of one region of the country. We have allowed rhetoric and misinformation to overwhelm what should be a legitimate discussion of very important issues, jobs, human health, the environment, and the rational application of administrative oversight.

I will close by saying that I appreciate the efforts of the staff within Region 4. They worked closely with our Cabinet to arrive at a solution to move these permits forward. We were assured by headquarters that if we were to reach agreement, which we did, that EPA would honor that agreement.

Unfortunately, that ultimately was simply not the case, and many months later we are still all experiencing the consequences of headquarters' actions.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer some opening remarks.

### (Applause)

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good evening. My name is Bruce Scott. I'm the Commissioner of the Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection. I want to thank you for the opportunity to provide comments today regarding EPA's pending objections to 36 draft KPDES permits for discharges associated with coal mining operations in eastern Kentucky.

The Commonwealth of Kentucky has been delegated authority by U.S. EPA to administer the NPDES program in Kentucky since 1983. Since 1983, there have been tens of thousands of NPDES permits proposed and issued by Kentucky for wastewater and storm water discharges across the Commonwealth, ranging from individual homes, to cities, to industries, and to coal mining operations. The Agency is aware of only one prior instance where an EPA permit objection of a Kentucky proposed

draft NPDES permit may have occurred. That was
approximately 25 years ago for an industrial
operation. No previously proposed NPDES permit
for a coal mining operation has ever previously
been objected to. However, since April of 2010,
EPA has objected to approximately 40 proposed
individual NPDES coal mining permits and has
approved only one individual NPDES permit for a
new or expanded surface mining operation in
eastern Kentucky. Since receiving NPDES
delegation in 1983, Kentucky has maintained
conformance with federal EPA regulatory
requirements. Simply stated, Kentucky's
regulations and regulatory requirements are the
same as the federal regulations and regulatory
requirements.

With regard to the 36 EPA permit objections subject to the public hearing here today, it is noteworthy to point out that EPA has not made any changes to the federal NPDES regulations that are subject to these permits of this hearing today since April of 2010. In addition, there have been no changes to the applicable water quality standards at the state or federal level that apply to Kentucky waters that are at issue in these

permit objections since 2010, of April. The
question, therefore, must be asked: What changed?
What state or federal regulations have changed
that has resulted in these EPA objections since
April of 2010?

While that question remains pending, Kentucky has continued to work extensively with the EPA to address EPA's evolving comments and concerns that have been expressed over the past two plus years. Kentucky has provided numerous proposed draft permits, both formally and informally, consistent with existing state and federal regulations in an effort to resolve these objections. We have remained committed and hopeful that a resolution of these objections can and will be achieved.

With specific respect to the EPA permit objections subject to this public hearing this evening, the EPA's stated concerns primarily fall into two categories. First, with respect to how the reasonable potential analysis, or RPA, was performed to determine whether the proposed discharges have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to a violation of Kentucky's water quality standards. And, secondly, the establishment of permit requirements in accordance

with the determination of that reasonable potential analysis.

With respect to the first issue, Kentucky followed existing EPA-approved RPA procedures, regulations, and application requirements consistent with 40 CFR 122.44 and 40 CFR 122.21. Specifically, Kentucky evaluated available discharge data and/or requested discharge data where it was unavailable for new discharges, as per existing regulatory requirements and permitting procedures.

With respect to the second issue, Kentucky imposed a combination of chemical specific limitations and monitoring requirements, whole effluent toxicity limitations and monitoring requirements, best management practice requirements, and instream biological assessment requirements and limitations. In addition to addressing the individual parameter RPA requirements, the narrative water quality standard for conductivity and total dissolved solids is met via the combination of all these identified permit requirements, consistent with 40 CFR 122.44. In addition, the instream biological assessment requirements are designed to address the site

specific nature of the receiving stream, as specified in the narrative water quality standards cited in 401 KAR 10.031, Section 4(1)(f).

In light of these facts, we respectfully request that EPA withdraw its permit objections. We look forward to continuing to work with EPA in our ongoing effort to bring resolution to these issues in a timely manner. Thank you again for the opportunity to provide these brief comments, and we will be providing additional written comments on these EPA permit objections before the close of the comment period. Thank you.

### (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Thank you. Now it is time for our public comment period. And we have our first speakers coming up. The way it is going to work, and we have some seasoned speakers that they can lead us through this, each speaker will have two minutes. I will ask you to first say your speaker number, then your name, spell your name, please, so we can get it correct in the transcript, your organization that you are representing, if any. And if you are referencing any specific permits, please state that as well. Then we will start the timer, which is up there

now. And you will see you have got two minutes.
At the end of the two minutes, I do ask that you
conclude your comments. If you haven't finished,
we ask that you submit the remainder in writing,
as many people have brought statements with them.
The microphones will slowly go softer, so it won't
be your imagination. And then we will turn to the
next speaker.
So with that, I would like to open it up and
start with speaker number 1.

SPEAKER NO. 1: Thank you. I am speaker number 1. And I am Donna McClure, "M," as in "mining," c-C-l-u-r-e. And, unfortunately, Congress is in session this week and Senator McConnell could not be here. So I am reading a statement from the United States Senate Republican Leader, Mitch McConnell.

"Like most of the country, Kentucky is suffering from very difficult economic times. Far too many Kentuckians are unemployed and the prospect for future employment remains daunting. It is especially irritating this Administration has blindly followed policies eliminating jobs in our communities. The people of Kentucky are amongst the hardest working people on earth. But

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how can we be expected to compete if our own government is working against us. Simply put, my constituents are under siege from the Obama Administration's regulatory agenda, and EPA is the worst offender.

These 36 objections are further proof of this siege. Perhaps the clearest example of this Administration's regulatory assault is its war on coal. Since being sworn in, President Obama's EPA has set out to circumvent the will of Congress and the American people by turning the already cumbersome mine permitting process into a backdoor means of shutting down coal mines. 18,000 Kentuckians work in coal mining. And nearly 200,000 more, including farmers, realtors, and transportation workers, rely on the coal industry. Attacking an industry so important to Kentucky will only succeed in putting people out of work, impeding future job growth, and increasing energy prices.

A former senior EPA official under the Obama Administration recently summed up the regulatory philosophy of the Agency with respect to those working in the coal business with saying it wants to crucify them. With this radical environmental

1	anti-coal agenda, it is no wonder the
2	Administration has failed to answer the American
3	people's call for greater domestic energy
4	production. The real world impact of their
5	fantasy world energy policy is people are losing
6	their jobs and energy prices continue to increase.
7	It is high time the Obama Administration stop
8	treating the Kentucky coal industry as being part
9	of the problem. Kentucky coal is the solution."
10	(Applause)
11	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you. Next
12	speaker, please.
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'm back. My name is James
14	Milliman, M-i-l-l-i-m-a-n, State Director for
15	Senator Rand Paul. And Senator Paul cannot be
16	here tonight. Because I'll tell you what he is
17	doing, Ladies and Gentlemen, he is up in
18	Washington, DC as we speak fighting the EPA. And
19	he will continue. (Applause). He will continue
20	to fight the EPA until we achieve victory and keep
21	your jobs.
22	But the Senator has filed a list of questions
23	that he would respectfully request a written
24	response for. And we have filed them in the box,
25	so But there is another question he wanted to

ask, but I can't ask it now because something else
came up. Today's June the 7th, and I just got a
White House blog from the White House. And here
is what it says, Ladies and Gentlemen, a White
House blog on the here it is, the White House.
Do you know what it says? What it is about?
Protecting jobs in the American wind industry.
The wind industry. And it goes on to talk about
what a great priority protecting jobs in the
American wind industry is. Not a word is
mentioned about coal. Not a word. And my
question to the EPA is: Why are you more
concerned about protecting jobs in a wind industry
with companies like Solyndra that rapes the
country, rapes the country of billions of dollars
for Obama donors, and you neglect and spit in the
face of these hard-working miners who for 250
years have provided energy to this country. Why?
Why do you choose wind over coal? Thank you.
(Applause)
SPEAKER NO. 3: I'm Danielle Smoot, speaker
number 3, with Congressman Hal Rogers' office.
Also here with me tonight is our new District
Director Chris Girdler He is also the 5th

District State Senator Elect.

Congressman Rogers would love to be with us
here tonight. But he is also in Washington,
continuing to fight this same fight on Capitol
Hill. Congressman Rogers has grave concern about
the Environmental Protection Agency's
strangulating regulations on Appalachian coal. Ir
fact, earlier this year he told EPA Administrator
Lisa Jackson that the Agency's behavior is
contemptible.

Time and again, the EPA has tried to bypass the Congress and weaken state authority. And they make no secret of this Administration's intentions to shutdown Kentucky coal. The permit process is now so complex that our coal operators are jumping through regulatory hoops trying to understand the constant shift in standards and rules that don't apply to everyone. What we do know, what has been made very clear, is that only one 404 individual permit has been approved for a new surface mining operation in Kentucky in three and a half years. And, folks, that is downright shameful.

Congressman Rogers has made it clear that just because you are pro coal does not mean you are against the environment. He is the co-founder of the eastern Kentucky PRIDE organization that

has invested environmental education in every
school district in southern and eastern Kentucky,
inspired upwards of 33,000 volunteers to cleanup
our hillsides every April and remove nearly 30,000
straight pipes from dumping raw sewage into our
streams. In fact, some of PRIDE's most proud
sponsors and volunteers are coal operators and the
coal mining families that are with us here
tonight. Whether you have taken note or not, the
coal operators have made great strides in reducing
their environmental footprint. The EPA does have
a duty to protect the environment. No one here
tonight wants to eliminate environmental laws.
But we do expect them to be applied fairly and
consistently so our coal mining families can build
a business, start a family, or buy a home without
the fear of another pink slip because another
permit is on hold.

Congressman Rogers asks the EPA to overturn its objections to these permits, set aside its political agendas, and allow coal miners in Kentucky to provide for their families. Thank you very much.

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you very much. Thank you.

24

25

## (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Before the next speaker, let me just check if anyone has come in that needs the sign language interpretation services. If you could raise your hand and stand up. Okay, I didn't see anyone, so I think you can sit down. Thank you very much.

Okay. Thank you. Speaker number and then name.

SPEAKER NO. 4: Thank you. I'm speaker My name is Greg Stumbo. number 4. I currently serve as State Representative for the 95th District, which is basically Floyd County, down just a few miles south of here. And I'm currently I also had the Speaker of the House in Kentucky. the occasion to write the state's primacy law in 1980 in my first session in the General Assembly. And I live on a reclaimed surface mine. And I probably as a private attorney collected on behalf of injured miners and their families more benefits than anybody in this whole state. For 30 years, that is who I represented.

So let me tell you my objection to what you are doing here. When we enacted our primacy law, it was because Congress wanted a national standard

for states that engaged in mining practices. And
for 30 years, that has worked. But now what you
want to do, it seems to me, is change the standard
just because you don't like a particular form of
mining. That is not your prerogative; that is the
prerogative for the Congress of the United States.

The federal Surface Mining Act was passed in 1977, the Clean Water Act in 1972. And the legislative act that passes last takes precedent. So what you have to look for is what was the intent of Congress. We live in a democracy, not a dictatorship. If you want to change the law, go lobby Congress. If they change it, we'll obey it. If the Kentucky legislature changes it, we'll obey it. My problem is, that what you are doing is striking down the intent of Congress to have a uniform law for all the states just to penalize us.

If you don't believe that mountaintop mining has a positive effect, come see where I live.

There is a championship 18-hole public golf course, little league ball field, soccer fields, a riding stable, a residential community. We need this land to lift ourselves up out of poverty.

(Applause). Because, unless you have noticed, our

topography won't let us develop in the valleys
because they are prone to flooding. If we are
ever going to get out of the cycle of poverty, we
have to utilize the tops of our mountains so that
the next generation of eastern Kentuckians look
down at the mountains and not up at them. Don't
take this tool from us. Thank you.
(Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. The next speaker.

SPEAKER NO. 5: I'm speaker number 5. I'm

State Representative Rocky Adkins. I represent
part of eastern Kentucky. I have just finished my

25th year in the Kentucky House of
Representatives. I represent Elliott, Lawrence,
Boyd, and Rowan County. I also serve as the
majority leader in the Kentucky House of
Representatives.

I would like to start my comments, if justice is defined as equal treatment under the law, then what the EPA is doing with coal mining in our region is the most shocking example of injustice I have seen in this country in many years. I'm glad you are here to get a direct look of the impact of your rules and regulations. Thousands of jobs

have been lost directly and indirectly in the coal
industry and in the mining industry. Businesses
have been hurt and shutdown. These are real
people, not a statistic, people with families
trying to put food on the table, put a roof over
their heads, send their kids to school.
(Applause). Your rules and regulations with 404
permits, over 200 of them have laid with no
decisions, they have hurt our coal industry and
hurt our people. Your rules and regulations on
402 permits is hurting our industry and putting
our people out of work, destroying our economy.
Federal regulations that only impact six

Federal regulations that only impact six states in central Appalachia and not the rest of the country, you have targeted and attacked the coal industry of our region, and I believe your game plan is to put this industry out of business, send our people home without a job, and destroy our economy. I hope this is not true, but the evidence from your actions would find you guilty of every charge in every court throughout this country. (Applause).

Kentucky is a primacy state, given the authority over the last 30 years to review and make decisions on granting mining permits. Our

the coal industry.

professionals in Kentucky are professionals,
qualified, who have done a great job with the
responsibilities in this area. The Kentucky
Department of EPA, led by Secretary Len Peters and
Commissioner Bruce Scott, two highly respected and
highly dedicated people, have known they are known
nationally for their expertise. This is why
Kentucky filed suit against the federal EPA and
rightly so. You said that after 30 years of
primacy, after 30 years of providing the
experience in Kentucky, and then all of a sudden
we want to change the game. I'm here to tell you,
you do your job and let our people do theirs and
let them go back to work.
(Audience members stand. Applause.)
MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Thank you. Thank
you. Next speaker, please.
SPEAKER NO. 6: I'm speaker number 6. I'm
Fitz, F-i-t-z, Steele. I'm State Representative
of the 84th District, and I proudly represent the
number two and three largest coal-producing
counties of this Commonwealth. I would like to
thank everyone tonight for coming to support
Kentucky's coal miners and my signature industry,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you know, Jim, I'm glad you have bottled
water tonight, your water you are drinking, if you
are drinking it, you are breaking the law. It is
against it is higher than the conductivity
level of what you have set on coal mining.
(Applause). I have fed my family for 20 some
years being a coal miner. I'll be I'm a coal
miner now, I'm a State Representative now, and a
self-businessman. And I'll be a coal miner until
the day I die.

We will not stop mining coal. We can't stop mining coal. If this state stops mining coal, it will take 24 percent of this nation's renewables just to fuel what supply Kentucky needs to power this Commonwealth. No way. No wind, no power. Coal will burn. We've got it. No sun, no power. My people is not afraid of al-Qaeda. (Applause). They are not afraid of the air and the water they They're afraid of the Obama Administration. They have targeted us for over four years. And enough is enough. We won't We're going to have change. We're going to have change in November. I ask you, since April 2010 not one, not one eastern Kentucky permit has been issued. My brothers in the west

of Kentucky, their permits fly through your-all's office. We don't get one. The same engineering, the same equipment, the same everything.

Why are you discriminating against West
Virginia and Kentucky? Why? I ask you to look at
it, go back, release our permits. Let my people
go back to work. We don't want a handout. We're
not like other groups. We don't want a handout.
(Applause). We want to work and earn a living, a
good living, a fair living. (Applause).

And, also, I would like to invite you, as being Vice Chair, I know my Chairman has invited the EPA to hearings in Frankfort, you are welcome anytime. And like you had done last year for your private tours in eastern Kentucky, come let us take you on a tour.

#### (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. The next speaker, please.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. My name is Ray Jones. I'm the State Senator for the 31st District, Pike, Martin, and Johnson County. And it is my privilege to represent the largest coal-producing district of the 38 members of the Kentucky Senate.

First of all, let me say that I am somewhat glad that we're having the opportunity to address the EPA. It has only taken 18 months since the first request was put in for a public meeting. You know, those of us who had the opportunity to go to law school, there is something you learned in the first year of law school, it is called due process. And let me tell you something, the process that the EPA went through in adopting the conductivity standards violates the due process rights of every man, woman, and child in Kentucky and West Virginia.

Let me ask you, it is a basic fundamental question: Why doesn't this same guidance apply to Virginia? We have a tributary that runs into the Fishtrap reservoir from Virginia. It doesn't apply to Ohio or Pennsylvania. Why is that? But yet we find ourselves in a position where we have thousands of people out of work in eastern Kentucky, in large part because of the actions of the federal government.

These folks would like to be home with their families tonight. A lot of these men and women worked today. But yet they have to come here to defend themselves against the United States

ı	government and its bureaucracy. There is
2	something fundamentally wrong with that.
3	Now, let me tell you how much sense that this
4	regulation makes. The largest polluter of our
5	tributaries and streams throughout this country is
6	agriculture, herbicides, pesticides, fertilizer.
7	It is up in our streams and creeks through erosion
8	and runoff. What is next? Will the EPA stop our
9	farmers from growing food because of that? It is
10	no different, Ladies and Gentlemen. (Applause).
11	And it is fundamentally wrong.
12	This Administration and the EPA is out of
13	touch with the needs of the people of this state.
14	And it jeopardizes an economy of an entire region.
15	And one last thing. There is a federal prison on
16	a reclaimed strip mine in Martin County. Now,
17	that is how much sense that your policies make.
18	(Applause)
19	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Next speaker,
20	please.
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Yes. I'm State
22	Representative Leslie Combs. And along with
23	Senator Jones, we represent the largest
24	coal-producing county in this state. Now, I often
25	argue with Representative Steele, we argue over

who represents the second and third, but I say I represent the number one, the number two, and the number three largest coal-producing counties. So you can imagine how I feel about this. You can imagine the industry and the people that I represent.

But first and foremost, folks, I want to welcome you. I want to welcome you right here to our -- I mean, you are right here. You are sitting in our home precinct. And we want to welcome you, because we are finally glad to have you here. Now, I am going to tell you one of the reasons why I am glad you are here. I get phone calls every single day from my constituents who tell me, "I'm losing my job. I'm losing my home. I can't afford to feed my family." I'm running out of things to tell these people. You all are here. Give me some answers. What do I tell these folks? (Applause). What do I tell them?

Because I am going to tell you something about these wonderful people, they are great. All they want to do is they want to go to work. But let me tell you something they are being told. They are being told that what they do is wrong. They are being told that what they do is bad.

1	Well, I am going to tell you something about me,
2	and they are just like me. I don't believe, I
3	don't believe in doing things wrong. I do not
4	believe in being unethical, illegal, or doing
5	things wrong. And I'm going to tell you what,
6	these people know how to do it, they know how to
7	do it right, and I'll be the first one in line to
8	make them stay in line and do it right.
9	(Applause). By golly, give them don't take it
10	away. Talk about these 36 permits. I'm here
11	tonight on all 36. Because, I'll tell you, about
12	10 or 12 of them are right here in Pike County.
13	Ladies and Gentlemen, I can take you right
14	now, just like Speaker Stumbo referred to, just
15	like Representative Steele referred to, I can take
16	you to some property right now that is absolutely
17	unbelievable and it would knock your socks off.
18	It has got clean water. It is grazing cattle
19	right now. It is acting like farmland, and it is
20	acres and acres of property that are absolutely
21	beautiful. Thank you.
22	(Applause)
23	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Before the next
24	speaker, if I could ask the next block of

36

speakers, 21 to 30, to go in the back of the room,

1	please, to get ready for speaking, to get your
2	briefing. Speakers 21 to 30. Thank you. Sorry.
3	SPEAKER NO. 9: I am speaker number 9. I'm
4	State Representative Hubert Collins,
5	Representative of Johnson, Martin, part of Pike,
6	and part of Floyd. I've been the House
7	Transportation Chair for 17 years, also the
8	ranking member on natural resources and education.
9	The influence of coal in these counties is of
10	great importance. Jobs from coal is allowing men
11	and women to support their families, put bread on
12	the table, clothes on their backs, and educate
13	their kids, and also to try to live a more
14	comfortable life. But this is in danger.
15	The EPA regulation not only affects the coal
16	industry, but it affects farming, development, and
17	road construction. We have come to realize there
18	is a war on coal. I, along with all of our miners
19	and all of our coal people, will fight this war as
20	long as it lasts. The economy is already bad.
21	But the continued old and new regulations makes it
22	devastating. With the rejection of the 36
23	permits, of which six of them are in one of the
24	counties that I represent, it will mean that the
25	families may have to go without food by losing

their job. But the continued regulations, the old and the new, makes it more devastating and makes it more sure that the people may have to lose their ability to get food.

Can you, the EPA, with this dishonorable decision accept this action? Every man, woman, and child has the right to life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. These irresponsible decisions will take this liberty away. We will not stand for these actions. It is unbelievable, and I would like to know the answer. Why is it that the water running from a mine site is more restricted than the bottled water and the tap water that we drink? This is wrong. This is wrong. Thank you all. May God bless.

(Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 10: I'm speaker number 10. My name is John Short. I'm State Representative of the 92nd District in the heart of coal country in eastern Kentucky.

I know that you have heard a lot about needing to study. Look at the permits and start releasing them. I'm going to tell you what is going to happen in eastern Kentucky if you don't release them. Our schools have already a low

attendance, but their population has been going
down over the last ten years. This means that
we'll have not have the money in our school
system to give our children the education that
they deserve. And if no permits are issued, our
population will go down even more. We have
already started bussing our young children for
longer periods of time, which they do not deserve,
because of consolidation of schools.

Every business in eastern Kentucky revolves around coal. I have never worked in the mines, but I started making a living from coal as soon as I graduated from college. Our hospitals, Wal-Marts, restaurants, I could go on and on about all of the businesses that are built on mountaintop development sites. We have thousands of fine homes built on these sites.

I have a cousin, Tim Short. He gave \$1.6 million for four acres of mountaintop development to put a car dealership on. I have several horses of my own and love going to central Kentucky looking at the horse farms. But several of these historic farms have been turned into housing developments and shopping malls. To me, these farms are a lot more valuable and beautiful

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than mountains that are economically worth nothing
except for the coal that lies beneath them. I
don't come to Lexington with my coal mining
buddies and look like a bunch of idiots saying,
"Save the horse farms." No. I see this as
development of jobs so people can provide for
their families.

I own about 250 acres where I live, and it has never been mined. But I wish I could talk somebody into mining it. We also use mountaintop development for grazing cattle and horses. have the largest elk herd east of the Mississippi that forages on these development sites. What would happen if a group of legislators got together here in Kentucky and passed a bill into law that would require every stream in the state of Kentucky to have to pass the same regulations that you want us to abide by? I seriously doubt that there would be a stream in Kentucky that could pass it. What would the EPA do then if they had to enforce the law like they are enforcing it It is also -- well ... on us?

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you.

SPEAKER NO. 10: Thank you.

(Applause)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

M	S. Mac	PHERSOI	N: 7	Γhank	you.	Tha	ank y	ou'	very
much.	Thank	you.	And	ify	ou do	run	out	of	time
please	submit	t those	e wri	itter	comm	ents	up a	ıt t	he
regist	ration	table	so v	ve ca	an mak	e it	part	of	the
record	. Thar	nk you	. Ne	ext	speake	r, p	lease	<b>)</b> .	

SPEAKER NO. 13: I'm speaker number 13. I'm Dr. Charles Hardin, Magoffin County Judge Executive and a family physician in Salyersville, Kentucky.

I would like for you to consider three things when making this decision. Number one, poverty breeds pollution. We already have enough problems in eastern Kentucky (Applause) with providing for pollution control things, such as clean water, proper sewer. If you remove some of these jobs, I as a county judge will not be able to deal with some of the household waste and other pollution Number two, when you raise the price of things. electricity from somewhere from 11 to 25 percent, there are going to be a lot of elderly people who sure can't afford their medications then. But if you can't afford this is about health. your medications, you don't have much health Please consider that. (Applause). either. The third thing is, that I think that when I have

talked to most coal miners, they understand that
this is a future that is shrinking and
diminishing. But we need time. This does not
need to be done abruptly. We need to sit down
with the business leaders in the coal industry,
with the coal miners, with the EPA, with the
environmentalists, and let's get a program that
doesn't do this abruptly. Give us time so that
wind can be developed in Pike County. If you do
this quickly, if you shutdown the coal industry
quickly, when the energy prices go up, you will
have a backlash. And I will guarantee you, in $5$
to 10 years you won't be able to control coal
mining, you won't be able to control pollution,
and we won't be in no mood to compromise. Thank
you.

## (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: And I do want to say, I really appreciate everyone adhering to the two minutes to respect all of the speakers. Thank you for that. Next speaker, please.

SPEAKER NO. 12: Judge Rupert, number 12,
County Judge Executive of Pike County, the largest
coal-producing county in Central Appalachia.

I am wondering why no Washington-based EPA is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here. I have got an idea of why they are not here, why they sent you all out of Atlanta. You know the video that they call an official in EPA in Washington, what did they say? What did the video say? "We're going to crucify the fossil fuel industry." That's coal. (Applause). And you started doing a doggone good job.

But I want to tell you all something. We're going to have a crisis in this country that you all are causing, you bureaucrats out of Atlanta and those in Washington are causing, that is going to be a crisis unheard of in America. This is a You talk about water, water, water. shame. you all have put in place the most stringent regs that you could put in place that can't be met by this coal industry. Don't you realize that on the conductivity of water, that what you are requiring is beyond most of the public water systems in America? Look at your numbers. Look at them. There is no way. You put in regulations to stop the coal mining in central Appalachia.

Not only that, you are going to ruin this country. We've got a severe problem of security in this country, of importing this oil. You don't realize that 42 cents out of every dollar in

Washington is spent, spent for imported oil. An
opportunity. This Administration in Washington
cut the money off for research, cut the money off
for clean coal technology so that you can strangle
the coal industry of this country. We are not
going to stand of it. We are going to fight you
until the end. These coal miners are the heros;
they are not the villain. And thank God for coal.
And God Bless America.
(Applause)
MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Next speaker,
please.
SPEAKER NO. 15: I am speaker number 15. I'm

SPEAKER NO. 15: I am speaker number 15. I'm the Martin County Judge Executive, Kelly Callaham. That is spelled C-a-l-l-a-h-a-m, as in "Mary."

I want to talk to you about fairness. In 2009, you guys renewed the 402 permits for Kentucky. And then in April of 2010, EPA accepted the changes that the State applied to the 402 permits and the State was able to issue 27 permits. Why are those standards no longer acceptable?

In 2011, the EPA sent letters out to 55 permits. This was the same letter, 36 of them was deemed as objection letters and 19 of them as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comment letters. How can it be the same? It was the exact same letter.

On July the 21st of 2011, EPA implementation of the final guidance to eastern Kentucky and West Virginia. Other states in the same ecosystem, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Virginia, and Tennessee, had no such limitations. How is our water different?

You know, when I first come in here, I met a good friend of mine that, that when he was growing up, he lived by the Tug Fork, and as many of you all in this room know, the Tug Fork is -separates Kentucky and West Virginia. And I was proceeding to tell him that a friend of mine had caught a whole bunch of smallmouth bass in -- in a place. And I said, "Where did you catch those?" And he said, "You are not going to believe this, but I caught them in the Tug River." Now, you are talking about a river back when my friend was swimming in it 30 years ago you would be black when you would come out. So, you know, you all have done a great job, but it is time to get off our back. I mean, our streams are good. and I don't understand the fairness.

When your-all's director, Lisa Jackson, has

1	to slip into Kentucky and have meetings in				
2	secretive places with a bunch of tree-huggers, a				
3	people that wouldn't work in a pie factory tasting				
4	them (Applause), she she is not hearing she				
5	is not hearing both sides of the story. So I just				
6	want to ask you guys, the EPA and the President,				
7	to get off our back and let our coal miners work.				
8	Thank you.				
9	(Applause)				
10	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you.				
11	Next.				
12	SPEAKER NO. 14: Thank you. Thank you for				
13	coming. I'm speaker number 14. My name is Randy				

SPEAKER NO. 14: Thank you. Thank you for coming. I'm speaker number 14. My name is Randy Thompson. That's T-h-o-m-p-s-o-n. I'm the County Judge Executive of Knott County.

I just want to say, our local governments depend greatly on the tax revenue from coal and we simply cannot afford to provide the services our people expect and deserve without this revenue. Plus, our entire eastern Kentucky economic base is totally dependent on the jobs provided by the coal industry.

Now, I thought I heard one of the speakers from one of the environmental groups earlier today say, "These hearings weren't supposed to be about

jobs." And I thought to myself, to hell they
ain't. You tell several hundred eastern Kentucky
(Applause) coal miners they are going to lose
their jobs because of something you created called
conductivity, you damn well better be prepared to
hear from them. These laid off workers are now
trying to buy their groceries, gasoline, pay their
medical expenses, mortgages, car payments and more
with unemployment benefits that don't come close
to allowing them to make their ends meet.

And from some of the comments I heard Tuesday in Frankfort, I got the impression some of you are more concerned with aquatic life than you are human life. (Applause). You say denying these permits is based on science. Well, even if it is science, shouldn't that science be seasoned with just a little bit of common sense? I mean, based on your reasoning I need to start arresting kids that are peeing in my public pool.

Because of declining coal tax revenue since 2010, I've had to layoff nearly half of my county government's workforce. You say permits are being denied because the mining will pollute some streams. I am not convinced that is the real reason. Otherwise, you would be assisting us in

getting rid of the real pollution problem, of failing septic tanks, straight pipes dumping raw sewage into the streams. I don't know about you, but I would 10 to 1 rather take a drink of water from a running mine site than downstream of 100 straight pipes. (Applause).

If you are truly serious about preventing pollution, issue these permits and encourage more mining. Allow our local governments to use the stream mitigation funds to pay for construction of wastewater treatment plants along the streams. If you are concerned about safe drinking water, issue permits, encourage more mining so the local governments can receive more tax revenue, build more and better water treatment facilities.

During the past seven years in Knott County,

I have invested \$25 million of coal severance tax
revenue to provide water to Knott County families,
water that is cleaner and safer than these
families would have ever expected to receive had
it not been for coal tax dollars. Put my people
back to work.

(Audience members stand. Applause.)

MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Thank you. Next speaker, please.

SPEAKER NO. 17: Harlan County Judge

Executive, Joe Grieshop, G-r-i-e-s-h-o-p. I am

speaker number 17.

The war on poverty in Appalachia was declared in the 1960s with President John F. Kennedy and Lyndon Baines Johnson. Their heart went out to our people and also to the coal industry. Much thanks to our natural resource coal and the coal industry, because they are, and the companies have helped us make steady progress in upgrading our quality of life. Together, we have created coal jobs for our families. And we also were provided coal severance dollars for our projects. These projects would not have been funded but for the coal industry generating those tax dollars from the value of severed coal.

Now we are faced with a new war, a war which will take away our opportunity for a better life. Subjective decision making has occurred. And because it has, it has created an economic crisis for Harlan County and other counties in this region. Why, I ask, does the EPA make decisions based on conductivity testing that is not logical?

Coal companies have a good record of stewardship of their permitted land. They have

1	taken the extraction process seriously. But they
2	cannot tolerate a moving set of rules. Our
3	mountain streams and rivers are cleaner today than
4	they have been in years past. Ask the thriving
5	wildlife, ask the fish. If they could speak they
6	would tell you they love where they live right
7	now.
8	Coal has been our economic engine for the
9	region, and coal plays a major role in America's
10	growth. And I ask you to give our families a
11	break, come to the table, discuss the issues, and
12	work through the process. Thank you.
13	(Applause)
14	MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Next speaker, please.
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is is it on?
16	MS. MacPHERSON: It will come up. Keep
17	keep talking. It will adjust. Go ahead.
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: (Indicating).
19	MS. MacPHERSON: Yes, it is on.
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yes. My name is R.D. "Doc"
21	Marshall, M-a-r-s-h-a-l-l, Floyd County Judge
22	Executive.
23	I come here tonight with mixed feelings,
24	feelings that go back a long way. We all come
25	from communities that we know were founded, were

built, were promoted with coal. I happen to have
one in my county that prospered and was noted
across the United States of America in the 40s,
50s, and 60s by the name of Wheelwright, Kentucky.
It was a community that had everything, but they
had it because of coal. Coal made it possible.
Other communities followed. Twenty years ago,
20 years ago, there were 153 mines actively mining
coal in Floyd County, Kentucky. Today, I have
five, five mines that are struggling big-time.
And if the EPA has anything to do, those five will
disappear before this year is over.

We need answers. We need plenty of them.

Why are we here? We're here simply because of three, the big three. Not what Washington considers the big three. But we're here for jobs, we're here for families, and we're here for communities. And, by golly, I will come back. I was here three years ago. If it takes three more years, I'll be here again and voice my support. I'll always stand up for our people in coal. I believe they are the backbone of this country.

And when those lights grow dim, brother, they are going to find out right quick. (Applause). Let us stand together. We've got a great motto in the

1	Commonwealth of Kentucky, United We Stand.
2	(Applause)
3	MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Thank you. Next
4	speaker, please.
5	SPEAKER NO. 21: I'm speaker 21, Andy Willis,
6	A-n-d-y W-i-l-l-i-s.
7	I'm going to begin by quoting from the United
8	States Constitution. The Tenth Amendment states,
9	"The power is not delegated to the United States
10	by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the
11	States, are reserved to the States respectively,
12	or to the people." And William Douglas, the
13	longest-serving U.S. Supreme Court Justice, said,
14	"The Constitution is not neutral. It was designed
15	to take the government off the backs of people."
16	(Applause).
17	Congress took it upon itself to establish the
18	U.S. EPA to enforce the laws of the Clean Water
19	Act and the Clean Air Act. The U.S. EPA has since
20	overstepped its bounds by passing regulations
21	which are not in the best interests of the states
22	or their people.
23	Now I want to quote from a great American,
24	Thomas Jefferson. He said, "The policy of the
25	American government is to leave their citizens

free, neither restraining nor aiding them in their
pursuits." He also said, "We were directed from
Washington when to sow and when to reap, we should
soon want bread." He also said, "Most bad
government has grown out of too much government."
And, finally, "I predict future happiness for
Americans if they can prevent the government from
wasting the labors of the people under the
pretense of taking care of them."

Now, I know there are some people in this crowd who would probably love the idea of the government taking care of them. But as for me, I hereby request that the U.S. EPA stop trying to take care of me by outlawing the mining and use of coal. Thank you.

(Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 22: I'm speaker 22, Eric Chance, C-h-a-n-c-e, with Appalachian Voices. Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today.

I believe that the EPA should reaffirm their objections to these 36 inadequate permits. The State has failed to do an adequate reasonable potential analysis and has failed to include permit limits adequate to protect water quality. The EPA is not the one holding up these permits.

They are simply trying to bring up the quality of permits issued in Kentucky to the standard of other states like West Virginia. The party truly responsible for holding these permits up is the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, because they are not capable of writing a permit that would require companies to mine responsibly and do it without ruining water quality. The Cabinet is so starved for funding and beholden to the coal industry that they are incapable of regulating it.

In addition to their inability to issue adequate permits, they have proven their inability to regulate coal by setting inadequate bond amounts, failing to list streams in the coal fields on the 303(d) list of impaired streams, allowing more mines to be covered under the general permit than there should be, failing to develop TMDLs for the streams actually listed as impaired, not reviewing discharge monitoring reports, failing to do enforcement actions on water quality problems they have identified, failing to ensure water quality and other data submitted by the companies is actually true, failing to even know the most basic information like the number and location of all the facilities

they are supposed to be regulating.

Finally, the permits at issue here represent a small percent of the mining permits issued by the State, because more than 2,000 mines are covered under the general permit, which is not at issue. As active mines across the state are idled, approval of these permits would not create jobs because natural gas is cheaper than coal.

(Audience members respond)

MS. MacPHERSON: I would ask everyone to please show the same courtesy to all the speakers that are speaking here tonight. Please. We are not going to start. Thank you. Next speaker, please.

SPEAKER NO. 23: My name is Pallavi Podapati, P-o-d-a-p-a-t-i, and I'm the 23rd speaker. I'm a concerned citizen from Hazard, Kentucky.

I've lived in Hazard, Kentucky since I was three years old. I'm 21 now. And I don't want to call anywhere else home. Of course, I feel a natural desire to protect the place where my family and loved ones live. However, I find that laws and regulations meant to protect the health and safety of my community aren't being enforced or are under attack. And corporations with no

ethical ties or obligations to uphold the
well-being of my community are given enough leeway
to do anything to increase their profit margins.

So I feel compelled to speak here and draw

So I feel compelled to speak here and draw attention to the fact that these permits denied by the EPA are indicative of the regard. Or, to speak quite truthfully, the complete disregard of these companies towards my community, my family, and myself. It is unacceptable that Kentucky's DOW accepted permits that had insufficient data to establish reasonable potential analyses. I find it necessary to state that there is a reason these permits were denied. Current permits in Kentucky are inadequate and aren't even being enforced by the State.

(Audience members respond)

MS. MacPHERSON: The next speaker, please.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is Haven King,
H-a-v-e-n K-i-n-g. I'm the County Clerk for Perry
County, Hazard, Kentucky. I'm also the President
of Coal Mining Our Future.

Now, I've often wondered and thought about what the EPA executive in Texas, when he said that, you know, "the way that we got their attention is when we would come into a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mediterranean town and Turkish people and the
first five men we would come to we would crucify
them." So the way I don't think you all would
do that. But that's one way. He said, "That town
was easy to handle after that." So what you all
have done, you have took the thing with
conductivity. One lady, named Madeline O'Hare,
took prayer out of school in this country. One
lady, named Lisa Jackson, is going to stop coal
mining if we don't stop her. (Applause). Her
name is Lisa Jackson, and she is totally against
our people.

And the EPA, you like your conductivity. Don't take my word for it. When you go back, when you all go back, the drinking water that you drink, go ahead and do the conductivity on it and see what it is. The EPA wants the permits that we My drinking water in Hazard, Kentucky, do at 300. at Chavies, where I live, is 725 coming out of the Hazard district. Now, I guess that's crucifixion. I mean, you are really trying to -- poverty you are going to see when our people can't -- have to choose between power, they have to choose between medicine and food. Now, you're going to see what poverty is when you see people go hungry and when

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	you see people losing their jobs. There's
2	hundreds and hundreds. We've actually lost 1,500
3	jobs in our two counties in the last three months.
4	Those jobs, sixty to \$65,000 per year. We're
5	losing those, and it is because now, when
6	people die because they can't provide for their
7	family, they are going to get rowdy and they are
8	going to get rough. But whenever they die, I want
9	you to think about that when you make these
10	decisions, because that is going to be on you
11	whenever that happens. Thank you.
12	(Applause)
13	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. Next.
14	SPEAKER NO. 26: I'm speaker number 26. My
15	name is Maxine Stanford.
16	(Audience members respond)

(Audience members respond)

MS. MacPHERSON: Please be courteous. Thank Go ahead again. you.

SPEAKER NO. 26: My name is Maxine Stanford. And I'm concerned about all the jobs that we have lost up in eastern Kentucky. Our coal miners have lost jobs. And when the coal goes down, it also affects their communities there, and they are starting to lose jobs. Businesses are going to start closing. And we have -- I'm a coal miner's

wife, and -- and I have brothers that work in the coal industry. And that's our livelihood. I mean, that's what we live on. That's what we make a living here today. We need our jobs and we want to do our jobs right. We want to have clean water.

But if we can't -- we don't have clean water when the streams, the straight pipes go in. And we want to clean them up with coal severance money. But if we haven't got that coal severance money, we can't cleanup the streams. We can't stop the sewage going into the streams. We can't stop the runoffs of the agriculture, the fertilizer, the insecticides, the salt off your roads you treat your roads with. That raises conductivity. And what do we do on it? Do we leave the roads where we get killed every day?

But if you check it when -- conductivity in your water when the salt is applied to the road, the runoff, your road -- of the road, the water, goes back to the streams, it affects your quality of water. But yet, I mean, you know, our city water, it's -- you know, it is better than what we -- you know, our city water is not as good as the water coming out of these mine waters. You want

1	it three to 500 to be acceptable, no more than
2	five. And we we most mines can't do it that
3	way. But we can drink our city water, and it is
4	700 and something. We can drink our bottled
5	water, you know, 500, 600, or 1,000, you know, but
6	it is acceptable.
7	So we need your help. We need help getting
8	our jobs back. Thank you.
9	(Applause)
10	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you.
11	Before the next speaker, I would like to send the
12	next group of speakers, numbered 31 to 50, if you
13	can go to the back of the room to the man holding
14	up the number 2. Thank you.
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is Cordis "Cuzz"
16	Bishop, C-o-r-d-i-s C-u-z-z B-i-s-h-o-p.
17	People of Appalachia are treated like anuses.
18	Normally I would say something else; okay?
19	Perhaps we need to put some thought into acting
20	the same way as the African-Americans did in the
21	60s in order to get their civil rights. I am from
22	that area and saw what they had to do to get their
23	civil rights. Maybe that is what we need to do.
24	Appalachian miners and their families are being
25	discriminated because you are taking away our jobs

1	and our civil rights. Our U.S. Constitution says
2	we have the right to work. You, the EPA, are
3	taking away these rights. Thank you.
4	(Applause)
5	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. And if I
6	can remind you to say your speaker number first,
7	that would help me out.
8	SPEAKER NO. 27: Twenty-seven.
9	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you.
10	SPEAKER NO. 27: Hello. My name is Mark
11	Miller. I live in Prestonsburg, and I work here
12	in Pikeville for Whayne Supply Company. We're the
13	distributor for Caterpillar equipment and
14	construction machinery, provide parts and service
15	support to our coal customers.
16	I come today to represent our 160 employees
17	at the Pikeville branch, whose jobs depend on the
18	coal market, and to show support for the miners of
19	our region. We are full of concern and
20	uncertainty about our future because of the
21	regulatory ambush on the coal industry by the EPA.
22	Your changing of the permit requirements in
23	April of 2010 has effectively strangled our
24	industry. Why were only six states singled out or
25	targeted for conductivity? And then why was it

reduced to just Kentucky and West Virginia? Why are your guidelines only for the coal industry?

As the rest of the world grows their coal-generating electric capacity at a record pace, the United States is hard at work shutting down all of our coal plants, resulting in massive layoffs, mine shutdowns, downsizes, and company closures. I personally believe that God provided us with an abundant supply of natural resources, including coal, to power our nation's economic engine.

We move mountains and we build roads, make usable flatland for business development and recreational areas. We use the same machinery and the same earthmoving techniques to mine coal. We do so responsibly and in compliance with mining regulations written to ensure we reclaim all mines to acceptable standards. We gather today on top of a 14 million cubic yard fill of the original Leviza Fork of the Big Sandy River. The cut-through project enabled Pikeville to grow and prosper.

Gentlemen, let us continue working. Issue our permits. We strongly encourage you to withdraw your objections. Thank you.

## (Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. Next speaker.

SPEAKER NO. 30: Good evening. I'm speaker number 30. My name is Susan Kirkland. And I don't guess I would have permission to have a 15 second power off; could we? To have the lights turned off and let them feel what it is like to have the power turned off. (Applause). And, by the way, just to let Mr. Jim know, D.C. is also powered by coal.

To continue on that, since I don't guess they are going to turn the power off, coal -- coal jobs are our jobs. They are energy. They are energy for this country. Twenty-four percent of Kentucky coal powers this country. But it is not just the It is the railroad jobs. It is the truck energy. driver jobs. It is the jobs that get our money and our goods to our people. (Applause). And when you start cutting coal, you cut business expenses, you cut power, you cut everything. Our expenses go up. You take these people's jobs, not only are you taking their jobs but you are also making it more expensive to live, more expensive to buy food, because they have to pay for higher

power, higher power bills. Their home power bills go up. Everything goes up when you cut coal.

And you think that it is an environmental issue. They are doing everything they can to meet

issue. They are doing everything they can to meet and exceed EPA regulations. And it is like one of the prior speakers said, not only do they meet the regulations but now you're changing the rules. It is not fair. And all it is, is an all-out war. And these people's are claiming war on you guys because they want their jobs, they want their livelihoods, and they want their chance to raise their families. And if you cut it, then you are cutting half of the country. There is nothing else I can say about it.

## (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. The next speaker, please.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yes. My name is Charles

Baird. I am President, Chairman I should say, of

Coal Operators and Associates based in Pikeville,

Kentucky.

First I would like to thank all of the state officials, particularly Secretary Peters and Bruce Scott, and I thank all the folks that have attended here, the public officials. I don't

think you have seen a single public official
oppose this. These are our elected
representatives. You had the Speaker of the House
here, you had the majority leader, you had the two
United States Senators, you had a Congressman, you
had the Magistrates, you had Judges. You will not
see that anywhere. And they all have the same
message. And I think we need to thank them for
being here. (Applause). I have already used up
half of my time there, but.

Secretary Peters used the word "arbitrary."

Really what the EPA has done is not arbitrary. It is illegal. They have completely ignored the APA, which is the Administrative Procedures Act that deals with regulatory matters. No public comment. It had immediately taken effect. No notice to anybody. "Here it is, boys. You do it. If you don't like it, you can kiss it."

Now, that is the Obama way of doing things.

And I am not blaming Region 4, by any means. But their bosses are controlling the situation. And it is basically the attitude, "Stop me if you can. Until you stop me, I am going to do whatever it takes to stop coal." And we're going to fight back, and I know there is a hearing on that on

July 14th in Washington.

This has nothing whatsoever to do with the environment. Let's face the facts. If they, EPA and these folks back here, were concerned about the environment, they would be looking at straight pipes that are here by the tens of thousands. If they were concerned about the environment in our streams, pollution of our streams, they would be looking at the millions of gallons of sewage that is placed in the streams every week. And they don't. Thank you.

## (Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 32: I'm speaker number 32, Gary Bentley. And before I get started, I just want everybody that is here, we're all here for the same reasons, to look around at the politicians that were here earlier that have decided to leave and don't want to stand around and listen to what we have to say. Think about that around election time. Look at the politicians -- there are some that stayed, and I appreciate it. They are here for us. Look at the ones that got up and left after they spoke. That is where we need to be looking.

But I am here today as a Kentucky coal miner,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a father, a concerned citizen. And I am here for
the same reasons as everybody. The people of
Appalachia are scared right now. We only have one
source of income, one industry, and that's coal.
Without that right now, Appalachia will not
survive. These communities will turn into small
ghost towns.

Since November, I've watched hundreds of my coal workers, thousands of our local coal miners lose their jobs. Now six, nine months later, a year, these people are facing losing their homes. They are struggling to support their families. These people and these coal miners, we have been let down by our politicians, by the coal corporations we work for and the coal organizations. If everybody would just work together and try to make this work, we would all still be working. Granted, these coal corporations wouldn't make the billions of dollars that they make every year. But us, we would still have our jobs and be able to support our families.

The people of our communities and our coal miners and our local coal officials, we need to take action. We need to send a message to our politicians and to these large companies, these

CEOs, and let them know that we are not going to stop until they make this right, until we have our jobs back. We need this industry to survive.

Look back at the community members and the miners in the 1970s and the union wars. They took pride in this area. They took pride in their jobs. They stood up for what they believed in.

Let's send a message to these politicians, to these CEOs, to the federal government, to the state government. We will not back down. We will fight for our communities. And, most importantly, we're going to fight for our families and make sure the coal industry stays around. Let's show everybody the real faces of coal and what the people of Appalachia stand for and what we can do.

(Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Next speaker, please.

SPEAKER NO. 31: My name is Rusty Rowe,
R-u-s-t-y R-o-w-e. And I'm speaker number 31.
I'm here tonight to represent JMP Coal Holdings
and the over 400 employees that depend on coal to
support their families that work with our
organization.

For 30 years, I have worked in the coal industry. And just like a lot of you, the only

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reason I get up in the morning and go out to work is to provide food, clothing, and a place to live for my family. But now our ability to provide for our families is under attack. Many of the people attacking us don't even live here.

Over the years, we have worked through many changes in regulations, policies, and procedures. But there is always a path that could have been taken to lead to a mining permit. That has all changed now. Under this Administration, the EPA is choking us to death. They have become involved in, basically, every environmental aspect of the mining industry. They use delay tactics and won't give us specific written comments so that we can address deficiencies. Last summer one of our mining permits was one of those 36 permits. was actually one of the 19 in September that was objected to that the Kentucky Division of Water approved. The federal EPA objected to it. They used the same comments to object to all 19 of those permits.

Earlier I told you why I get up every
morning, to provide for my family. Few things in
life get more personal than when someone, and that
someone is your government, is trying to take away

21

22

23

24

25

1	your livelihood, trying to take away your ability
2	to provide for your family, for the people you
3	love.
4	It has become clear to me that the
5	Administration and the EPA do not care about
6	miners and their families. My only hope at this
7	point is that come January we get a new President
8	and we get a new EPA. And that is our only hope.
9	(Applause)
10	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. The next
11	speaker.
12	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Ladies oh, wow. Ladies
13	and Gentlemen, my name is Jimmy Hall. And I want
14	to thank the miners. I want to thank the EPA.
15	You know, we all have got rules. They give me
16	this piece of paper. They told me I have got two
17	minutes to speak. Some of our high-dollar
18	politicians get up there and tell me about the
19	golf courses they have built here and there. They

I live in Letcher County, Kentucky. Okay?

And it is up there in Deane, around the Deane

area. And I don't see no golf courses. All I see
is what I had seen when I was a kid. The same old
buildings. The same dust. You know, why can't

overrun their two minutes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you just do something right? Why can't you just
keep the money in Letcher County? Why can't you
take and give us water? Why can't you pay for
taps for these people? All we want is the water.
We don't give a heck about a golf course. What
are we asking for? I don't like repeating myself
I hate to beg. I hate to thank everybody, shake
their hand. And I know we are not doing nothing.
Because the only thing they care about is who is
going to get elected. I don't care if a
Republican gets elected. I don't care if a
Democrat gets elected.

We need water. My neighbor has got two babies that can't even take a bath come September when they go back to school. It ain't time yet. I've got 27 minutes. The next time I speak, I know what I have got to do, go to every one of these meetings that I can find until somebody listens to me. Why can't you do what is right? Follow the rules. Follow the rules. If they tell you two minutes, take your two minutes and go home or go back and sit down. (Audience members respond). No, I'll go home. I'm coming home. This is my home. I was born and raised here. Thank you.

1	(Audience members respond)
2	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Everyone
3	(Audience members respond)
4	SPEAKER NO. 33: I'm number 33.
5	MS. MacPHERSON: No, no. Please wait. Yeah.
6	No. Excuse me. Everyone is entitled to make
7	their comments. Please show the same courtesy to
8	everyone. Thank you. Everyone, we want to try to
9	hear from as many people as possible tonight.
10	SPEAKER NO. 33: I'm number 33. My name is
11	David Moss, spelled M-o-s-s. And I'm the
12	Vice President of the Kentucky Coal Association.
13	I am here tonight voicing my opposition to
14	the EPA's objections to 36 mining permits here in
15	Kentucky. I find it appalling the EPA has
16	continued to try to curb coal mining production
17	here in eastern Kentucky using political science
18	versus sound science. The EPA originally stated
19	in their interim guidance regulations that they
20	would limit coal companies to a benchmark of 500
21	microsiemens in regards to conductivity, which
22	would affect six Appalachian coal states, Kentucky
23	being included. This was issued on April 1st of
24	2010.
25	After much consideration, the EPA decided
	72

that their final guidance document should only be
issued to two Appalachian coal states, shockingly
West Virginia and Kentucky. Let us analyze why
the White House and the EPA decided to make this
decision. The final guidance on conductivity for
the Appalachian coal basin removed Virginia, Ohio
and Pennsylvania from the original interim
guidance documents. Why leave off all three
significant coal mining states if these new
guidelines are intended to protect clean water?

The EPA realized that these three states are key important battleground states in the upcoming presidential election this November. Could the EPA have been instructed to not harm the re-election of President Obama? I think you should ask the 42 percent uncommitted in the room here tonight.

Coal mining creates opportunities for over 70,000 folks here in the Commonwealth. I think it is important to understand that every region of the state is interdependent on one another.

Because of coal mining here in eastern Kentucky, especially here in Pike County, cheap electricity is produced and Louisville and Lexington prosper.

Because of the coal mining in eastern Kentucky,

mining machinery companies, like Whayne Supply,
Brandeis, and Bryan Equipment, all companies based
in Louisville, are able to employ thousands around
the state

So why are we shocked this has come to be?

President Obama in every year of his presidency
has tried to ratchet back fossil fuel energy
production here in the United States. Oil and
natural gas, especially coal, have all had targets
on our backs since day one. So speaking tonight
in Pikeville is another hurdle I am willing to
jump through to get the President's attention that
coal matters in the United States. God Bless
America. And burn coal.

# (Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 36: Madam Speaker, I'm number 36. My name is Rocky Hudson, H-u-d-s-o-n. I want to thank you so much for coming to our beautiful land. I wish that I had been able to feed you before you came to this assembly. By the time I get through talking, I think you are going to be able to feel the love. I'm a real love machine.

I'm 78 years old. And I represent the
Hazard, Perry County senior citizens. Without our
coal miners, we would not have the quality of life

that we have. For the past 40 years, the coal
miners in Perry County, Knott County, Leslie
County, Letcher County, Breathitt County, they
have supplied us with the quality of life that we
would have never had before. And I'm so glad that
the EPA was able to come to this beautiful land
today. I thank God for the beautiful sunshine
that he provided. You were able to see these
mountains that we love so much. You have been
experiencing a little piece of paradise today.
For 78 years, I've lived in this wonderful land.
I brought a 92-year-old senior citizen with me.
And she said for me to tell you that she is not a
senior citizen, she is a recycled teenager.
(Applause).

As Moses said to Pharaoh, when Moses said to Pharaoh, "Let my people go," I'm going to ask the EPA to let our people go back to work.

(Applause). In a little town like Hazard,

Kentucky, we have a hospital that was made on reclaimed mountaintop removal. Without this hospital, I would not have been able to reach my 78th year of birth. I was rushed to this hospital in the emergency room, and they saved my life.

Thank you.

1	(Applause)
2	MS. MacPHERSON: The next speaker, please.
3	SPEAKER NO. 36: Hello?
4	MS. MacPHERSON: Hello.
5	SPEAKER NO. 36: My name is Alex Desha,
6	speaker number 36. I am a Sierra Club member.
7	But tonight I speak to you as a proud Kentuckian.
8	My grandfather was a deep miner in Pike
9	County. And I want a future here for my family
10	and myself. I have a vision of a future where
11	people are valued over profits, where our water is
12	safe to drink, and where I won't fear to let
13	children play in the creeks that I enjoy so much.
14	And I want an economy that is as diverse and as
15	rich as the people of eastern Kentucky. I want to
16	live in a place where our future is valued as much
17	as our proud past.
18	In order for this to happen, we need
19	leadership that is willing to protect our most
20	valuable resource in eastern Kentucky, the people.
21	The Kentucky Department of Water should stand up
22	and protect the people of eastern Kentucky by
23	complying with and enforcing the Clean Water Act,
24	a law designed to protect people. Coal companies
25	should be good stewards of the land and the people

whose jobs they are responsible for. They can
provide good jobs and be good stewards by mining
in a responsible manner and investing in proper
reclamation of the land. They should be doing
this instead of fighting the EPA, the agency
charged with protecting the land and the people.
We shouldn't be forced to choose between jobs and
healthy communities. We shouldn't have to choose
between clean water and feeding our families. Few
other areas have had to make that choice. It is
not the people's fault. Our state leadership is
failing us. What they are being asked to do,
which is to ensure we have sufficient clean water
protections for healthy communities, is not hard
or unobtainable. We're proud of our past here in
Kentucky, and let's be proud of our future. I
call on our state leaders, and that is our
politicians and our coal companies alike, to stop
bickering and to get to work. Clean water
benefits protect clean water benefits everyone.
Thank you.
(Audience members respond)
MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you.
SPEAKER NO. 38: Jared Arnett, President and
CEO of the Southeast Kentucky Chamber of Commerce,

1 speaker number 38.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I speak on behalf of over 500 businesses in eastern Kentucky and eight counties and nearly 25,000 employees that rely every day on this industry. They are all asking me one question, and that is: At what cost? As business people we make decisions looking at a benefit and a cost. And the only benefit we are hearing from you is that we are going to have clean drinking water and fish will have a safe environment and that we will have clean water to swim in. Well, I don't think that is quantifiable. I think it is an emotional benefit. But what we can quantify is the cost. What we can look at is in the most recent 19 objections to these permits, we've lost the potential of \$128 million in revenue for our state government. We've lost the potential for 3,800 And these are good paying jobs that feed our families and feed economic activity into eastern Kentucky.

I am not an advocate for unhealthy drinking water or for reckless damage to the environment.

But I am an advocate for the benefits derived from mountaintop development sites. I'm an advocate for businesses and our people. I believe our coal

companies are mining more responsibly than they ever have before.

When I look around, there's more benefits than just the direct benefits. Where are our most beautiful housing developments? Above the flood plain on mountaintop development sites. Where is our airport that our community and our Chamber is working so hard to provide commercial air service for that will be funded by coal severance dollars? On a reclaimed mountaintop development site. Where is the most conducive habitat for our growing elk population of the tourism industry? On a reclaimed mountaintop development site. Our spec buildings and industrial parks used to recruit new industry? On mountaintop development sites.

At some point many years from now we will be ready for this shift. But to do it now will prove disastrous for our people. Our small businesses will close their doors. My question is to ask:

At what cost?

#### (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. Before the next speaker -- stay up -- you can stay up there.

I just want to call the next group, numbers 60 to 80, if you could please go in the back and meet with our staff. Also, if there is anyone who would like to make a comment tonight who was not registered, please do so now at the registration table to get a number. Okay. Thank you. PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. My name is David Wilder, D-a-v-i-d W-i-l-d-e-r. And I'm a concerned citizen from Paintsville, Kentucky. And I would like to say that as coal miners, we care about the mountains. We care about the We also care about development. streams. will look at these beautiful mountains out here, they are beautiful to look at. You can't build on You can't do anything with them. individuals here, we deserve the right to be able to develop our mountains and develop our

properties. We also deserve freedom.

I can stand up here and talk, but I don't think I can say anything that hasn't already been said much more eloquently by the -- some of the

owners should be treated fairly and be able to do

with their property as they see fit. We also want

the EPA to treat everyone fairly and consistently.

If you

Property

political people up here. But I am here as a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerned citizen. And I want the EPA to realize that we need to be treated equally and fairly, as all the other states are.

The EPA should also realize that they need to follow the procedures. If they want to change some regulations, there are procedures that they need to go through, the comment period and some of the other things that they have to follow that they are not following at all. They are just feeding us exactly what they want.

And another concern I have is where are they getting these numbers that they come up with? You know, these aren't anything that we're allowed to see anyplace. That's -- that's no way, to just pull numbers out and feed them to us. And I could tell you what you have done here, by what you are pushing on us right here right now, you have woken a sleeping giant.

The coal miners in Kentucky and West Virginia will band together. And I will guarantee you, you will hear from us in November. The EPA is just a puppet for President Obama. But we're going to show you what for come November.

(Applause)

PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is Chris, C-h-r-i-s,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Haverly, H-a-v-e-r-l-y. I own C & C Mining,
active permit number 8488078. I had a prepared
statement, but after hearing some of the comments
made I know I couldn't get it in with two minutes,
so I'm just going to fire from the hip here and
say what I think.
There's nothing worse than someone coming up

here and misinforming people about comments. Everyone has a right to their opinion, the right to state anything they want. But to say that the mining industry is not regulated or the permitting department doesn't do an adequate job is I can assure you I -- I do what most ridiculous. people wouldn't even consider mining. I reclaim gob piles. And it took me over a year to get a permit to reclaim a gob pile, which was actually cleaning up a mess that had been there since 1940 and cost me \$100,000 in engineering fees. up a \$65,000 cash bond for a 13 acre site for it to be hydroseeded and reclaimed when I am finished.

So for these people, for whatever reason they want to come up and say that the mining industry gets away with this and that, you don't get away with anything in the mining industry. My

grandfather was a miner. My father was a miner. My family has over 100 years in the coal industry. My dad worked 47 years in the UMWA and never had a lost time accident. He died at 82 a happy man because he knew he got up and went to work every day and he earned everything he got. And that is all every person in this building wants, is a chance to go to work. (Applause).

I have no animosity towards you people. I have no animosity towards the EPA. Because I know they are people that abused the privileges and rights to whatever they do. But I can guarantee you, the mining industry is the most regulated industry in this country, making it the most regulated industry in the world. I was fined 1,600 bucks for a coal truck tracking dirt out on the highway. I was fined 900 bucks for a loader having a taillight broken out. My problem. My issue. I paid it. But don't keep people from doing things that I know is to raise their families and to feed their families. We deserve the right to work. Thank you.

(Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 39: Hello. I'm speaker number 39. My name is Dennis Hatfield. That's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

D-e-n-n-i-s. And I think they know how to spell Hatfield.

I live in Louisa, Kentucky, and I'm a third generation coal miner, and I am a concerned citizen who supports the mining of coal here. Because I live and work here, I know how important these coal jobs are. I know because my family and I are every bit citizens as much as those who oppose mining. It is not about citizens versus the coal industry. We know what it takes to survive. We happen to be citizens who support We're proud to be part of it. And this is not a debate about wanting to have clean water in a living environment, because we all live here and want that too. But I also know that poverty can do far more damage than our regulated coal mining environment can. You cannot regulate poverty. You cannot diminish its impacts. Without coal mining, most of our local tax base will disappear. We would lose the severance taxes that help our counties pay their bills. Our quality of life would change. We wouldn't have the police and fire protection, the water extensions, the things that give us a standard of living. We would lose those comforts that are here only because the coal

1	company economy supports them. I drive on
2	Route 23 every day. And I know that jobs depend
3	on coal mining here.
4	I respect the rights of others to disagree.
5	But this is more than just a battle about
6	mountaintop mining. This is about all coal
7	mining. These regulations will stop underground
8	and prep plants and everything in every aspect of
9	coal. No one really objects to being held to a
10	reasonable standard. We understand and we want to
11	abide by the laws. But you can't shutdown a coal
12	industry.
13	By the way, my day job is General Manager of
14	CAM Mining, with 400 employees and 400 families.
15	And I speak for them as well. Thank you.
16	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you.
17	(Applause)
18	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. We are
19	over here.
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Hello. I am Bobby May,
21	Chairman of the Republican Party for Buchanan
22	County, across the border in Virginia.
23	And I'm here tonight to support our
24	hard-working coal miners. I'm proud to be the son
25	of a coal miner and the father of a coal miner.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

And I'm going to say something to all of these miners assembled here tonight that you are not going to hear from Barack Hussein Obama. And that is, God bless a coal miner. (Applause).

And, by the way, if anyone is not aware of this, "Hussein" in Arabic means "I hate coal miners." And, folks, I want to say this to you tonight, to anybody that thinks that coal mining is ugly, just wait until you see poverty. (Applause). This is a war on coal. Hussein Obama declared economic war on the coalfields of Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia. But this fall we need to declare and win a political war. We put Lisa Jackson on the unemployment rolls instead of the hard-working coal miners. (Applause). But, folks, be not deceived. Lisa Jackson is not the problem. The man that appointed her is the problem. (Applause). And if you are not part of the solution here tonight and this fall, in November, then you are part of the problem.

According to information I got from the internet, the 19 most recent objections alone are estimated to have cost Kentucky 3,800 coal-related jobs and more than \$123 million in coal severance

taxes alone. My advice to the EPA would be
approve these permits. Turn coal miners loose to
go back to work. And, man, we need the Social
Security, the national budget, and so on. And so
please let our coal miners go back to work by
approving these permits. Thank you.
(Applause)
SPEAKER NO. 64: My name is Mike Hansel. I
am speaker number 64.
This morning we heard a lot of rhetoric abou

This morning we heard a lot of rhetoric about clean water, we heard a lot of rhetoric about coal mining, we heard a lot of rhetoric, period. If you want to know what these people think, all you have to do is listen to the applause, listen to the boo's. If you want to know who is for coal, all you have to do is look in these chairs. You can count it. It is real easy to count. Remember what my grandmother said this morning, "It doesn't take long. It is as plain as the nose on your face, son. Let's see how smart you are."

Okay. I want to give you some -- I am going to give you a few quotes that the government needs to remember. Thomas Jefferson: "He who knows nothing is closer to the truth than he whose mind is filled with falsehoods and errors. To compel a

man to furnish funds for the propagation of ideas
he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and
tyrannical. The democracy will cease to exist
when you take away from those who are willing to
work and give to those who would not. Most bad
government has grown out of too much government.
A wise and frugal government, which shall restrain
men from injuring one another, which shall leave
them otherwise free to regulate their own pursuits
of industry and improvement, and shall not take
from the mouth of the labor and bread it has
earned. This is the sum of good government.
History, in general, only informs us of what bad
government is. To take from one because it is
thought that his own industry and that of his
father's has acquired too much, in order to spare
to others, who, or whose fathers, have not
exercised equal industry and skill, is to violate
arbitrarily the first principle of association,
the guarantee to every one of a free exercise of
his industry and the fruits acquired by it. A man
who has never gone to school may steal from a
freight car. But if he has a university
education, he could steal the whole train. I
don't pity any man who does hard work worth doing.

I admire him. I pity the creature who does not
work, at whichever end of the social scale he may
regard himself as being. The first requisite of a
good citizen in this republic of ours is he shall
be willing and able to pull his own weight. The
human body has two ends on it: one to create with
and one to sit on. Sometimes people get their
ends reversed. When this happens they need a kick
in the seat of the pants." Thomas Jefferson.

And here is one from Mike Hansel. "The definition of an elephant: An elephant is a mouse built to government specifications." Thank you.

(Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 67: I'm speaker number 67. My name is Erin Savage, S-a-v-a-g-e. I'm a water quality specialist with Appalachian Voices.

Since 2010, Appalachian Voices has brought cases against three of Kentucky's largest coal companies, ICG, Fraser Creek, and Nally & Hamilton, for nearly 36,000 violations of the Clean Water Act. These violations include falsified discharge monitoring reports as well as substantive permit limit violations. After these companies began actually testing their discharge and reporting accurately, they reported numbers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

such as 28 milligrams per liter for maganese,
10 milligrams per liter for iron, 500 milligrams
per liter for TSS, and a pH as high as 10. These
violations were neither identified by the State of
Kentucky nor were adequately dealt with once the
State was made aware of the problems we found.
The State is clearly either unable or unwilling to
properly enforce the Clean Water Act. Therefore,
the EPA must intervene.

The permits in question here admit to having inadequate baseline data for reasonable potential analysis. This hearing is not about disallowing mining in Kentucky. This hearing is solely about water pollution permits. We must remember, several thousand general permits were approved in 2009 through the general permit. The number in question here represents only a small percentage. Protecting our water quality is essential to the health of the residents here. Living near mountaintop removal, people are 50 percent more likely to die of cancer and 42 percent more likely to have children born with birth defects.

The EPA is not destroying coal jobs. Coal jobs in Appalachia have increased by 6 percent since 2009. The demand for coal is decreasing due

to competition from other energy sources, such as
natural gas. Coal now provides only 36 percent of
the nation's electricity. What Kentucky truly
needs is a diversified economy.
(Audience members respond)
MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you.
SPEAKER NO. 66: Okay. My name is Matt
Lawson. I'm number 66. Here I work for
Appalachian Voices. We represent several thousand
members around the central and southern

Appalachian region.

I'm going to, more or less, throw away my script because I have had a couple of chances to speak before and just start by saying that, you know, the cell phone in my pocket, about a third of the electricity, the electrons that went into that to charge it, came from coal. And I have no interest, nor does my organization, nor does the EPA, I am certain, have any interest in making that electricity go away. We appreciate that -- that electricity.

And I want to say thank you sincerely to the miners who have made that possible, who put their lives at risk going underground doing very hard work to make that possible. And I in no way and

nor does my organization or those we work with want to put you out of work. That is not our intention at all. And -- but there has been so much misinformation that we have heard from some of our local political leaders here, that it is really -- well, it makes me feel for the EPA, in a way. You have been imbued, I think in some people's minds, with these almost God-like powers of, you know, how -- of how much impact you can have on electricity, on people's jobs. And in reality, you know, this is the free market that is driving most of this. And because of that, I think that we just need to get back to what we really need.

You know, EPA, what we're talking about, is 36 permits out of hundreds, thousands that have been approved across the Appalachia. And if you were to back up -- back down now, that would not create one single job, because it would not create one bit of demand for your -- more coal. All it would do is give a greater advantage to the most irresponsible operators who do the most damage to the water in the area. So please just keep doing your job. And thanks for being here.

(Audience members respond)

1	MS. MacPHERSON: Settle down. Settle down.
2	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: That is a joke. That is
3	joke.
4	MS. MacPHERSON: Next speaker, please.
5	SPEAKER NO. 71: I am speaker number 71,
6	Frank Thornsbury, T-h-o-r-n-s-b-u-r-y. And I am
7	just a concerned citizen from Johnson County.
8	To the various offices of the EPA, guests, my
9	fellow Kentuckians, today we have heard various
10	means and consequences of denying permits for coal
11	mining in eastern Kentucky. However, in my
12	allotted time, I would like to call attention to
13	the very personal side of systemically strangling
14	the coal industry. Take my 23-year-old friend
15	Aaron Meek, for instance, whose job was just taken
16	because of the EPA's arbitrary and inconsistent
17	policies. He, his wife, Codi, and his 3-year-old
18	son, Jacobi, depended on Aaron's job as a surface
19	miner to keep to meet their needs.
20	While talking to him before I came here, he
21	went to pains to express that his coal mining job
22	provided an excellent quality of life and that
23	though dangers existed, those dangers paled in
24	comparison to the love he has for his family and
25	the desire to provide for them. He was also quick

to explain that what he did in the mines and the
way he did it is the American way. And I agree
with him. To go out, work, and provide, always
driven for the love for God and country and the
idea that if he works hard enough Jacobi, his son,
will face a brighter future. In short, coal is
the Meek family's lifeblood. It is all of our
lifeblood here in eastern Kentucky, and that has
been taken away already for Aaron. Thus, to cease
the existence of the coal industry is to pry away
the American dream from the hands of hard-working
men and women in eastern Kentucky. Not to mention
that Jacobi, growing up seeing his father work so
hard and have his job taken away by big
government, that will leave a mark on Jacobi, and
that mark says that hard work has no reward. And
that's the greatest consequence of all.
And the bottom line is that if you take our
jobs, in November we will take yours. Thank you.
(Audience members stand. Applause.)
MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. The next
speaker, please.
SPEAKER NO. 68: Yes. My name is David Gent,
G-e-n-t. I'm speaker number 68.
And tonight I'm I work for a company
94

called Xinergy. We have jobs in Virginia,
West Virginia, and Kentucky. And because of the
regulations that you guys have put upon us, we
have lost so many workers. I don't know exactly
the number. I'm the foreman for the job in
Virginia. But I came over here to speak because
we have people coming to my job that have lost
their jobs in Kentucky, West Virginia. They know
me. I've been around. Everybody calls me
"chicken man." They say, "Chicken man, I need a
job. I lost my job. I bought a new house. I
bought a new car." And the reason why they have
done that is because you guys are not using common
sense. (Applause). If you don't know, common
sense says that you will use sound and prudent
judgment based on a simple perception of the
situation or facts. The facts are, if you don't
release those permits a lot of these people right
here will not have a job.

And can -- let me ask you something. How much coal have you mined in Atlanta, Georgia? How much coal have you mined in Washington, DC? (Applause). Can you stand there at that door tonight and stand there and as these people go by you reach out your hand and say, "I'm sorry.

1	We're going to take your job." And that's what
2	you are going to do if you don't turn loose of
3	these permits.
4	Another thing, one more thing I have got to
5	say, Lord God I hate saying this, but for all the
6	tree-huggers, for all of you tree-huggers, you
7	really want to save a tree? Eat more beavers.
8	(Applause)
9	MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. The next speaker,
10	please.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is Mike Trivette. I
12	am not a politician, and I am not running for any
13	elected office. I'm a local physician in the
14	area. I am not here to defend or support any
15	coal.
16	My question is simply this: Why are we even
17	having this forum? This is not a fact-finding
18	mission. Your decision has already been made. I
19	think you are here as an extension of the
20	President Obama's Administration. President Obama
21	has already said that his goal was to destroy the
22	coal industry. And what he couldn't do through
23	cap and trade, he is doing through you, the EPA.
24	But I want you to understand something. You
25	work for us, and we don't work for you. The

problem (Applause). Understand this. I find
it very disingenuous, even hypocritical for you to
take advantage of the benefits of coal and then
come and tell us how evil coal is when you use the
electricity. When you drove up in your car. Your
car was made of steel, which came from coke.
(Applause). And you're taking advantage of the
work, the hard work and sweat of the coal miner,
and yet you are up here trying to destroy the
livelihood of these people.

And I find you -- I find it despicable that you would not even consider. Your mind is made up. You are not here for a fact-finding mission. You are here to make us dumb hillbilly coal miners look stupid. And I am not here (Applause) to beg crumbs from your table. Understand that. I'm here to ask why you are even bothering to have this session. Your mind is made up.

Coal -- if the coal miners will stand together, if they will come together and decide this is it, we're not going to run another lump of coal until you guys are on the unemployment line and they stand together as one and shut the mines down, you'll see exactly what coal does. Thank you very much.

### 1 (Applause) 2 MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. This is 3 the last one? The last speaker, please. 4 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Christie Riggins. That is 5 spelled C-h-r-i-s-t-i-e R-i-g-g-i-n-s. I work for Summit Engineering, and I'm an environmental 6 7 scientist. 8 I'm a degreed environmental scientist 9 concentrated in aquatic resources, and most of my time is spent in the streams and creeks of the 10 By following fair and reasonable 11 Appalachia. 12 guidelines set forth by the State, I have seen 13 with my own eyes coal industries improve the 14 quality of life and the environment while still 15 providing economic development and energy 16 resources. However, the liberty of mining coal, 17 to mine coal, is being threatened by this 18 Administration. It is the free market and not the 19 government that is the solution to America's 20 Inhibiting the free market only energy needs. 21 prohibits technology advancement for the efficient 22 use of our natural resources. 23 Recent science brought forth by the EPA is 24 also highly motivated by political interference 25 There is more science left out than and funding. 98

is put in. It is this kind of unfair, unfounded
EPA science that is being used to cripple our
economy. (Applause). One speaker mentioned
and are you all ready for this? coal mining has
caused a decreased life expectancy here in Pike
County. And, additionally, he stated that
employment has increased by 6 percent in this
area. Statements such as this are loaded, stilted
claims supported by the EPA and their supporters.
And they have the nerve to come here to Pikeville,
Kentucky and state that is scientific fact. The
fact is that the number of jobs available has
decreased. If the same number of jobs were still
available now as those that were available when
Obama took office, employment would have increased
significantly.

It seems that the EPA has an agenda that common sense cannot unravel, being funded by our tax dollars. If we bleed, you bleed with us. We must have our voices heard in November. Change must happen. We will raise our voices and be heard by all and end this tyranny on our coal, on our jobs, and on our liberty.

(Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 75: My name is Tina Bryant,

B-r-y-a-n-t. I'm number 75. I'm just
representing my family. My husband is a coal
truck driver. He just got off of work, 16 hours
working driving a truck. For those 16 hours he
only got four loads. Now, how is that supposed to
help me and my family? You guys keep pushing and
keep pushing. You want clean water? Well, guess
what? There is water everywhere. Go find it.
But don't take away jobs from these people around
here. They have got families. You guys have got
families. What would happen if we would come and
take your job? Would you like it? No.

All you guys want to do is talk statistics.

Nobody says why. Why do you want to take our jobs? Why do you want to take these men's jobs?

These people are supporting their families. Just leave us alone. Go do whatever you guys want to do. But leave these jobs alone, and we'll all be happy.

# (Applause)

SPEAKER NO. 72: Number 72, Skip Holmes.

Like most of you up there, I am not a native of eastern Kentucky. But having lived here for 18 months, I have gained some insight as to how important coal is to this state and to this entire

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

United States. All I have to do is listen to the coal train that rumbles by my home 10 times a day pulling 100 cars behind it. That's 1,000 coal cars every day just going on one route. When you see the slogan "coal keeps the lights on," that is not just a slogan, that is a fact.

Coal provides electric power for millions and millions of households in this country. All our countrymen do is flip the switch and they expect the lights to go on. The day they don't come on, it will be too late to fix the problem. Green is a nice color, and it is a nice concept. But that is what it is right now, it is a concept. wind, geothermal, nuclear, natural gas, those are all nice concepts. But these have potential -they don't have potential to replace coal in the near term or even the midterm. The recent failure of Solyndra is a perfect example of just how difficult it is to create new renewable sources of It is going to take decades to develop energy. these new sources of energy. And in the meantime, you are killing the one true tested source of energy.

Here in the mountains of eastern Kentucky and West Virginia, coal has been the lifeblood of the

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	region. This state has gone from a high of 47,000
2	jobs in the industry to under 20,000 jobs today.
3	That number falls every time you reject an
4	application. Eastern Kentucky is blessed and it
5	is cursed by these mountains. They have provided
6	coal to fuel the nation's jobs and create jobs for
7	thousands of miners. But they have also
8	restricted the growth of manufacturing and
9	transportation. Without the mines, this community
10	will be pushed back to limited opportunities of
11	yesterday.
12	(Applause)
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Before I get started, my
14	name is Mike Baisden. I'm a candidate for the
15	House of Delegates in West Virginia in the 20th
16	District. That is in southern West Virginia, in

And I would like to respectfully request that the time on the clock be changed to four minutes, if I can. If you could change that to four minutes.

MS. MacPHERSON: And why is that?

Mingo County and Logan County.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Well, I just would like to ask that. You can't do that because that's not fair; right?

1	MS. MacPHERSON: That's right.
2	PUBLIC SPEAKER: It is not fair for you to
3	change it.
4	(Applause)
5	MS. MacPHERSON: That's right.
6	PUBLIC SPEAKER: It is not fair for you to
7	change the rules in midstream. It is not fair to
8	my good friend Bobby, who spoke up here two
9	minutes ago and you gave him two minutes. And
10	that's all I want, is a fair shot to speak to you
11	all. And I appreciate the opportunity to do that.
12	But now that we're all friends and buddies
13	and we're just around and we're just talking now,
14	let me ask you this: Do you all really believe
15	that green energy and, by the way, in case you
16	didn't know, solar panels were invented in the
17	90s, and that is the 1890s. They haven't worked
18	since then. They won't work now. And they won't
19	work 50 years in the future. I don't know what
20	you expect. (Applause). But I live for the day.
21	I live for the day, Ladies and Gentlemen, when
22	Mr. Obama is laying (indicating) Mr. Obama
23	is laying on a surgical table and they walk into
24	him and say, "Well, you know, the Commission that
25	approves your operation has said, 'Yeah, you can

1	go ahead and have it. We're just waiting for the
2	clouds to clear out and the wind to pick up so we
3	can operate on you.'"
4	(Applause)
5	MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. Wait one second.
6	Okay. Next speaker, please.
7	SPEAKER NO. 74: I am speaker 74, Jimmie
8	Rogers, J-i-m-m-i-e R-o-g-e-r-s.
9	I would like to ask you folks a question.
10	Why are you here? Because I just heard on the
11	news that a commentator said, "President Obama
12	wants to shutdown every coal mining operation in
13	the United States." So I ask you, why are you
14	here? On the evening news another commentator
15	said, "The federal government is going to shutdown
16	all natural gas drilling." On the news just
17	recently, and you probably know his name, I didn't
18	have time to write it, a former EPA official made
19	the statement, "Crucify, crucify the coal
20	business." I can't find that on my notes right
21	now. He said to crucify the oil and the and
22	the gas business.
23	So my question is: Why do you think the Lord
24	Jesus Christ put the coal in the ground

(Applause), put the gas in the ground, put the

precious metals in the ground? To sit there? He put the coal and the gas in the ground for us to mine it and to get it out to make a living.

We have no industries in Pike County except coal and gas. If you shut this down, this will be a ghost town. A ghost town. So we want to see these people work and work efficiently and obey the law. But all I hear on the news is, "Over regulation. Over regulation." My 7-year-old granddaughter can't even have a lemonade stand. All these four-lane highways we have, U.S. 460, U.S. 23, U.S. 119, and Kentucky 80, I don't hear anybody from the Sierra Club complaining about these four lanes that they did mountaintop removal. But I hear all of this crap about the coal mining, that it is bad. Hallelujah.

### (Applause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Thank you. Just one second before you get started.

SPEAKER NO. 79: I'm Winston Oliver, number 79. Winston Oliver, O-l-i-v-e-r.

First of all, I love Kentucky, especially eastern Kentucky. I have a tremendous amount of respect for anyone that works in the coal industry. I dream of a Kentucky where the EPA can

give grants to universities and local governments to help coal gasification become a reality and clean coal technology. I hope to see some day that we can have these clean coal power plants built right here in this county and all of the coal-producing counties can export electricity to the United States all over the continent.

I also think that it's amazing what mountaintop removal can do, as far as development. I think that it can be -- it can go hand-and-hand with the environmentalists. Why not develop wind farms and solar farms on some of the reclaimed sites? And as far as water goes, I would like to see the EPA help local utility companies extend waterlines to all the people that have bad water that need help with their water. I think that is a solution.

And I -- I think that mountaintop removal sites are -- would make a good tourist attraction. The -- some of the mines that have already been mined, people come from all over to look at them, I think in Harlan and places. What we do have here needs to be marketed better. People that want to support it, let them support it, you know? There is good on both sides. That's all I have to

1	say.
2	(Applause)
3	MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. Next
4	speaker.
5	SPEAKER NO. 76: Good evening. I am speaker
6	number 76. My name is Rob Baldwin, B-a-l-d-w-i-n.
7	And I am a concerned citizen of southern West
8	Virginia.
9	Much has already been said tonight concerning
10	the devastating economic consequences of EPA's
11	decision to obstruct 36 mining permits in the
12	state of Kentucky and many more in my home state
13	of West Virginia. But what I want to talk about
14	tonight is the scientific justification that EPA
15	uses in their rational for obstructing these
16	permits. Why haven't any peer-reviewed studies
17	been released that justify implementation of ultra
18	strict water quality standards for such parameters
19	as selenium and conductivity, many of which we
20	have to the coal industry has to adhere to
21	limits in parts per billion. If such overwhelming
22	scientific consensus exists concerning damage to
23	the aquatic community from these parameters at
24	these concentrations, one would think that this
25	data would be readily available. You would think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it wouldn't be too difficult to find it. The				
absence of readily available data concerning these				
parameters leads one to believe that the limits				
enforced by EPA and EPA's objections to mining				
permits based on potential harm to aquatic life				
are just an excuse to obstruct coal production and				
the burning of fossil fuels in the United States.				
Any reasonable person or agency would not risk				
further jeopardizing an already fragile economy				
without having sound, irrefutable data to justify				
it. And all I want to know is, where is this				
data. Thank you.				
(Annlause)				

(Apprause)

MS. MacPHERSON: Thank you. Okay. My understanding is you are the last speaker. there any other speakers that have speaker numbers? Okay. Please go ahead.

SPEAKER NO. 80: I'm speaker number 80, David Kirkland, K-i-r-k-l-a-n-d. I was born and raised in this area.

The coal mountains are what brought this area to be what it is. And you all are trying to strike it down. Fifty-one percent of our nation's energy comes from coal, of which Washington, DC, where you all make these laws, is also powered by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coal. So earlier it was asked that you all turn the lights off, and that was not done. So I assume that you all do not want to go without your power. Therefore, do not strike down this coal. (Applause). We need it. Our economy is already fragile. We have unemployment rates not seen since the Depression, and you all want to further put people out of business. You all want to put down these companies that are trying to employ people.

And coal doesn't just -- it is not just for It is used in insecticides, which help our farms produce food. It is used in charcoal, which we use for our grills. It is used in medicine. It's -- for example, if a child ingests something they shouldn't have, charcoal is placed in their stomach to remove it. It is used in artificial -it is used in many things, TNT, linoleum that you all put in your-all's fancy houses up in (Applause). It is used in the Washington. perfumes that you all put on yourself. It is used in ammonia, which we use to clean our homes. Ιt is used in paint. It is not just power. used to heat and melt steel so that we can have buildings like this and like we have out in

1	Washington.
2	My question to you is: We are the people and
3	we want the coal, so why can't we have it?
4	(Applause)
5	MS. MacPHERSON: Okay. At this time, I would
6	like to turn it back to Jim Giattina for some
7	closing comments. Jim.
8	MR. GIATTINA: I want to thank you all for
9	your participation both this afternoon and this
10	evening. I know it has been a long day for folks,
11	and we truly do appreciate it. We understand and
12	can feel the passion on all sides of this issue.
13	I can assure you that our minds are not made
14	up on these permits. The comments that we
15	receive, both oral and written, as I said at the
16	outset, will be considered and evaluated as we
17	make the final determination regarding the permit
18	objections. After consideration of the record
19	that you have provided to us here and in
20	Frankfort, after we consider again the
21	requirements of the Clean Water Act and its
22	regulations, the Regional Administrator in Atlanta
23	will make a determination concerning the
24	objections and we'll notify Kentucky DOW, the
25	districts, and all of you who have provided your

1	address this evening.
2	Again, I thank you for your participation.
3	And we stand adjourned.
4	(Public hearing concluded at 9:30 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	111

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF KENTUCKY )
3	COUNTY OF FAYETTE )
4	T LICA M COULARZE RRR W COR LIN (
5	I, LISA M. SCHWARZE, RPR, KyCCR, and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Kentucky at
6	Large, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the caption hereto are true; that the foregoing
7	proceedings as indicated were made before me by the parties hereinbefore named, and were thereafter reduced to computer-aided transcription by me and
8	under my supervision; and that the same is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings to the best of
9	my ability.
10	I further certify that I am not employed by,
11	related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of
12	this proceeding.
13	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature and seal this 11th day of June, 2012.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	LISA M. SCHWARZE, RPR, KyCCR
22	Notary Public, State-at-Large 2404 Doubletree Court
23	Lexington, Kentucky 40514 859.533.8961
24	
25	My Commission Expires: June 13, 2013